

# Problem Set 10

Due: TA Discussion, 8 November 2023.

## 1 Additional Exercises

**Exercise 1.** Consider the problem of maximising  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $u(x_1, x_2) := x_1^{0.5} + x_2^{0.5}$  subject to the budget constraint; i.e.,

$$\Gamma := \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : px_1 + x_2 \leq m, x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \right\},$$

where  $p, m > 0$ .

- (i) Prove that a solution to the utility maximisation problem exists.
- (ii) Prove that a solution must lie on the boundary of the set  $\Gamma$ .
- (iii) Solve the Lagrangian as an equality-constrained one while ignoring the nonnegativity constraints. Does the solution to the Lagrangian identify a solution to the original problem? Why or why not?

**Exercise 2.** Consider the equality-constrained optimisation problem from class notes:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad & h_k(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, K\}, \\ & g_j(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, J\} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h_k : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ , and  $g_j : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$  are all  $\mathbf{C}^1$  functions. Define the *Lagrangian*,  $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^K \times \mathbb{R}^J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , as

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{k=1}^K \mu_k h_k(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j g_j(\mathbf{x}). \tag{2}$$

Say that a vector  $(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^K \times \mathbb{R}^J$  is a *critical point* of  $\mathcal{L}$  if it satisfies the following set of equations:

- (i) for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 0;$$

- (ii) for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mu_k}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 0;$$

(iii) for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ ,

$$\lambda_j \geq 0 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_j}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \geq 0, \lambda_j \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_j}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 0.$$

Let  $S$  denote the set of all critical points of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $S_X$  denote the projection of  $S$  onto the first  $d$  components of  $S$ ; i.e.,

$$S_X := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \exists (\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{R}^K \times \mathbb{R}^J, (\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in S \right\}.$$

Now consider the following problem:

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in S_X} f(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3)$$

Show that if a the problem (1) attains a global maximum at some  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $h_k(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ ,  $g_j(\mathbf{x}^*) \geq 0$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ , and the constraint qualification holds at  $\mathbf{x}^*$ ,<sup>1</sup> then a  $\mathbf{x}^\circ \in S_X$  that solves (3) is also a global maximum.

*Remark 1.* This should convince you that the “usual” approach you use to solve for constrained optimisation using Lagrangian works if (i) a global maximum exists and (ii) the constraint qualification is met at global maxima.

**Exercise 3.** Consider the consumer’s problem of maximising utility  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $u(x_1, x_2) := x_1 + x_2$  subject to the budget set

$$B(p_1, p_2, m) := \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : m - p_1 x_1 - p_2 x_2 \geq 0 \right\},$$

where  $m, p_1, p_2 > 0$ .

- (i) Write the constrained optimisation problem and the associated Lagrangian.
- (ii) Show that the constraint qualification is satisfied. Hint: Argue that any optimum must exhaust income and then that the constraint qualification constraint holds no matter what other constraints bind.
- (iii) What can you say about critical points that solve the Lagrangian in this case?

**Exercise 4.** Suppose a firm’s production function is given by  $g : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) := x_1(x_2 + x_3).$$

The unit price of firm’s output is  $p > 0$  and the price of each inputs are  $w_i > 0$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

- (i) Describe the firm’s profit-maximisation problem, and derive the equations that define the critical points of the Lagrangian of this problem.
- (ii) Show that the Lagrangian as has multiple critical points for any choice of  $(p, w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^4$ .
- (iii) Show that none of these critical points identifies a solution of the profit-maximisation problem. Explain why.

---

<sup>1</sup>The relevant constraint qualification is the one that allows for both equality and inequality constraints.