

**ECON 6170**  
**Problem Set 8**

Gabe Sekeres

October 25, 2024

## 1 Exercises from class notes

**Exercise 8.** Prove the following: Suppose  $f : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is differentiable at  $x_0 \in \text{int}(X)$ . Then  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0)$  exists for any  $(i, j)$ , and

$$Df(x_0) = \left[ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0) \right]_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(x_0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_d}(x_0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(x_0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_d}(x_0) \end{bmatrix}$$

**Proof.** We have that  $f$  is differentiable, meaning that there exists a linear transformation  $D : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + h) - (f(x_0) + Dh)\|_m}{\|h\|_d} = 0$$

Fix some  $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Take  $h = \eta e_j$  for some  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $e_j$  the standard  $j$ th basis vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + \eta e_j) - (f(x_0) + D\eta e_j)\|_m}{\|\eta e_j\|_d} &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + \eta e_j) - (f(x_0) + D\eta e_j)\|_m}{|\eta|} \\ &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + \eta e_j) - f(x_0) - \eta d_j\|_m}{|\eta|} \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_j$  is the  $j$ th column of  $D$ . This implies that, expanding the norm, we have that

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x_0 + \eta e_j) - (f(x_0) + D\eta e_j)\|_m}{\|\eta e_j\|_d} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m (f_i(x_0 + \eta e_j) - f_i(x_0) - \eta d_{ij})^2}}{|\eta|} = 0$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_i(x_0 + \eta e_j) - f_i(x_0) - \eta d_{ij}}{\eta} = 0 \implies \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_i(x_0 + \eta e_j) - f_i(x_0)}{\eta} = d_{ij}$$

Thus, by definition  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0)$  exists, and  $Df(x_0) = \left[ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x_0) \right]_{ij}$ . □

**Exercise 9.** Let  $f(x, y) = \frac{xy}{x^2 + y^2}$ , if  $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ , and let  $f(0, 0) = 0$ . Show that the partial derivatives of  $f$  exist at  $(0, 0)$ , but that  $f$  is not differentiable at  $(0, 0)$ .

**Proof.** Consider first  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0)$ . From the definition of the partial derivative, we have that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h, 0) - f(0, 0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0$$

Similarly, we have that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0,h) - f(0,0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0$$

So the two partial derivatives do exist. However,  $f$  is not differentiable at  $(0,0)$ . To see why, note that the limit from two directions is:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(h,h) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^2}{2h^2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(h,0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{h^2} = 0$$

So  $f$  is not continuous at  $(0,0)$  and thus is not differentiable.  $\square$

**Exercise 10.** Let  $f : (a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be differentiable, and let  $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable at  $f(x_0)$  for  $x_0 \in (a,b)$ . Express  $D(g \circ f)$  as a function of the partial derivatives of  $f$  and  $g$ .

*Proof.* We have that from the Chain rule:

$$D(g \circ f)(x_0) = Dg(f(x_0))Df(x_0)$$

From Exercise 8, we have that

$$Dg(f(x)) = \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial f_j(x)} f(x) \right]_{1 \times d} \quad \text{and} \quad Df(x_0) = \left[ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_0}(x_0) \right]_{d \times 1}$$

for  $j = \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Thus, we have that

$$D(g \circ f)(x_0) = \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial f_j(x)} f(x) \right]_{1 \times d} \cdot \left[ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_0}(x_0) \right]_{d \times 1} = \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial f_i(x)} f(x) \right) \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_0}(x_0) \right)$$

$\square$

**Exercise 11.** Prove the following:

**Theorem 1. (Young's Theorem with  $d = 2$ )** Suppose  $f : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $f \in C^2$  at  $x_0 \in \text{int}(X)$ . Then, when they both exist,

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_0) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(x_0)$$

*Proof.* We have that  $f$  is twice continuously differentiable. Consider the rectangle formed by  $x_0 + h$ , where the points are  $x_0$ ,  $(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2})$ ,  $(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} + h_2)$ , and  $(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + h_2)$ . Define the distance functions

$$r(h) = f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + h_2) - f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2})$$

and

$$t(h) = f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + h_2) - f(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} + h_2)$$

Then we define

$$d(h) = f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + h_2) - f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2}) - f(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} + h_2) + f(x_0)$$

and note that

$$d(h) = r(h_1, h_2) - r(0, h_2) = t(h_1, h_2) - t(h_1, 0)$$

Since these are all additive functions of  $f$ , which is twice continuously differentiable, all of these functions are continuous and differentiable on their domains, so the Mean Value Theorem applies. We have that there exists  $y \in (0, h_1), y' \in (0, h_2)$  such that

$$d(h) = r(h_1, h_2) - r(0, h_2) = r'(y, h_2) \cdot (h_1, 0)$$

and

$$d(h) = t(h_1, h_2) - t(h_1, 0) = t'(h_1, y') \cdot (0, h_2)$$

so

$$r'(y, h_2) \cdot (h_1, 0) = t'(h_1, y') \cdot (0, h_2)$$

Thus, we have that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h} [f(x_{0,1} + y, x_{0,2} + h_2) - f(x_{0,1} + y, x_{0,2})] (h_1, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial h} [f(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + y') - f(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} + y')] (0, h_2)$$

which implies that

$$h_1 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x_{0,1} + y, x_{0,2} + h_2) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x_{0,1} + y, x_{0,2}) \right) = h_2 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x_{0,1} + h_1, x_{0,2} + y') - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} + y') \right)$$

Since  $f \in C^2$ , we have that each of the parts inside the parentheses are continuous and differentiable. Thus, using the Mean Value Theorem again, we get that there exists  $z \in (0, h_1), z' \in (0, h_2)$  such that this becomes

$$h_1 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x_0 + z) \cdot (0, h_2) \right) = h_2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z'} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x_0 + z') \cdot (h_1, 0) \right)$$

Recalling that  $y, y', z, z' \in (0, h)$ , we have that as  $h \rightarrow 0, y, y', z, z' \rightarrow h$ , and this becomes

$$h_1 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x_0 + h) \cdot (0, h_2) \right) = h_2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x_0 + h) \cdot (h_1, 0) \right)$$

Simplifying the partial derivatives, we get that this is

$$h_1 \left( h_2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(x_0 + h) \right) = h_2 \left( h_1 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_0 + h) \right)$$

So we have that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(x_0 + h) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_0 + h)$$

As  $h \rightarrow 0$ , since  $f \in C^2$ , we can conclude that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(x_0) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x_0)$$

□

**Exercise 14.** Let  $f : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $X$  is nonempty, open, and convex. For any  $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $S_{x,v} := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : x + tv \in X\}$  and define  $g_{x,v} : S_{x,v} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $g_{x,v}(t) := f(x + tv)$ . Then  $f$  is (resp. strictly) concave on  $X$  if and only if  $g_{x,v}$  is (resp. strictly) concave for all  $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $v \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ): We have that  $f$  is concave on  $X$ , meaning that  $f''(x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . We also have that from the chain rule,

$$g'_{x,v}(t) = f'(x + tv) \cdot v \implies g''_{x,v}(t) = f''(x + tv) \cdot v^2$$

Thus, when  $v \neq 0, g''_{x,v}(t) \leq 0$ . A similar proof holds when  $f$  is strictly concave, replacing  $\leq$  with  $<$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ): We have that  $g$  is concave for all  $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  where  $v \neq 0$ . Again from the chain rule, we have that

$$g''_{x,v}(t) = f''(x+tv)v^2 \implies f''(x+tv) = \frac{g''_{x,v}(t)}{v^2}$$

and since  $v \neq 0$  and  $x+tv \in X$  by definition, we have that  $f''(x+tv)$  is concave whenever the argument is in  $X$ . A similar proof holds when  $f$  is strictly concave.  $\square$

**Exercise 17.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x, y) := x^\alpha y^\beta$  for some  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ . Compute the Hessian of  $f$  at  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$ . Find conditions on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $f$  is (i) strictly concave, (ii) concave but not strictly concave, and (iii) neither concave nor convex. How do your answers change if the domain of  $f$  was  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ ?

**Solution.** We have that

$$H_f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial x)^2}(x, y) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(x, y) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial y)^2}(x, y) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(\alpha-1)x^{\alpha-2}y^\beta & \alpha\beta x^{\alpha-1}y^{\beta-1} \\ \alpha\beta x^{\alpha-1}y^{\beta-1} & \beta(\beta-1)x^\alpha y^{\beta-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

From Proposition 15, we have that  $H_f$  being negative definite implies that  $f$  is strictly concave. We have that the determinant of  $H_f$  is

$$\det(H_f) = (\alpha(\alpha-1)x^{\alpha-2}y^\beta)(\beta(\beta-1)x^\alpha y^{\beta-2}) - (\alpha\beta x^{\alpha-1}y^{\beta-1})^2$$

so simplifying, we get that

$$\det(H_f) = \alpha\beta x^{2\alpha-2}y^{2\beta-2}(1-\alpha-\beta)$$

Additionally, the trace of  $H_f$  is

$$\text{tr}(H_f) = \alpha(\alpha-1)x^{\alpha-2}y^\beta + \beta(\beta-1)x^\alpha y^{\beta-2} = x^\alpha y^\beta \left( \frac{\alpha^2 - \alpha}{x^2} + \frac{\beta^2 - \beta}{y^2} \right)$$

A matrix is negative definite if its Eigenvalues are all negative. Equivalently, since this is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, it is negative definite if the determinant is positive and the trace is negative. This condition is satisfied when  $1-\alpha-\beta > 0$  and when  $\alpha^2 - \alpha$  and  $\beta^2 - \beta$  are both negative. This implies that  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ .

Similarly, this function is concave but not strictly concave if the Hessian is negative semi-definite but not negative definite. This happens when the determinant is non-negative and the trace is non-positive, which happens when  $1-\alpha-\beta \leq 0$  and  $\alpha^2 - \alpha, \beta^2 - \beta \leq 0$ . Since we also need that the function not be strictly concave, this implies that  $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

Finally, this function is neither concave nor convex when the determinant is negative, which implies that  $1-\alpha-\beta < 0$ , with the condition that  $\alpha + \beta > 1$ .

If the domain of  $f$  were instead  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ , none of these conditions would be sufficient. Specifically, since we can have that  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ , it is possible that the Hessian takes indeterminate values depending on the values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

## 2 Additional Exercises

**Theorem 2. Euler's Theorem** *If  $f : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $x \in \text{int}(X)$  and homogenous of degree  $k$ , then*

$$\nabla f(x)x = kf(x)$$

**Proof.** We have that  $f$  is homogeneous of degree  $k$ , which means that  $f(\lambda x) = \lambda^k f(x)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ . We will differentiate both sides with respect to  $\lambda$ , using the chain rule. We get that

$$\nabla f(\lambda x) \cdot x = k\lambda^{k-1} f(x)$$

Then, choosing  $\lambda = 1$ , we get that

$$\nabla f(x)x = kf(x)$$

□