

**ECON 6190**  
**Problem Set 6**

Gabe Sekeres

October 25, 2024

1. We have that  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(Z) = 1$ . Using Chebyshev's Inequality, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\{|Z| > \delta\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Z)}{\delta^2}$$

so when  $\delta = \sqrt{20} \approx 4.47$ ,  $\mathbb{P}\{|Z| > \delta\} \leq 0.05$ . In contrast, when  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , we have that  $\mathbb{P}\{|Z| > \delta\} = 0.05$  when  $\delta = 1.96$ . This number is lower because we have a bound on the tail probabilities in a normal distribution – we know that they decay exponentially. We don't know that with an arbitrary distribution.

2. We have  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , draw a random sample and construct a sample mean statistic  $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

- (a) From Markov's Inequality, we have that  $\mathbb{P}\{|Z| > \delta\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|Z|^r]}{\delta^r}$ . From the properties of normal distributions, we have that  $\bar{X}_n \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$ , meaning that  $\bar{X}_n - \mu \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$ . Thus, taking  $r = 2$ , we get that

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \delta\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\bar{X}_n - \mu|^2]}{\delta^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\delta^2}$$

- (b) Recall that the fourth moment of a normal distribution, the kurtosis, is  $3\sigma^4$ . Thus, taking  $r = 4$ , we get that

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \delta\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|\bar{X}_n - \mu|^4]}{\delta^4} = \frac{3\sigma^4}{n^2\delta^4}$$

- (c) Assuming that  $\delta = \sigma$  and  $n > 2$ , we get that

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{n\delta^2} = \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{3\sigma^4}{n^2\delta^4} = \frac{3}{n^2}$$

Where we have that  $\frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{3}{n^2}$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . Thus, Markov's Inequality with  $r = 4$  provides a tighter bound.

- (d) Again, we have that  $\bar{X}_n - \mu \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$ . This means that

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \delta\} = \mathbb{P}\{\bar{X}_n - \mu > \delta\} + \mathbb{P}\{\bar{X}_n - \mu < -\delta\} = 2\mathbb{P}\{\bar{X}_n - \mu > \delta\}$$

So we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \delta\} = 2\left(1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}\delta}{\sigma}\right)\right) = 2\Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\delta}{\sigma}\right)$$

- (e) We have that for  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|Z - \mu| > \delta\} \leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

and recalling that

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X} - \mu| \leq c\} > 0.95 \iff \mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X} - \mu| > c\} \leq 0.05$$

we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X} - \mu| > c\} \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{nc^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \leq 0.05$$

So for any  $c_1$  where  $2 \exp\left(-\frac{nc^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \leq 0.05$ ,  $\mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X} - \mu| \leq c\} > 0.95$ . So

$$c = \sqrt{-\frac{2\sigma^2}{n} \log\left(\frac{1}{40}\right)} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{2 \log 40}$$

Using Chebyshev's Inequality, we get that

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X} - \mu| > c\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\bar{X})}{c^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{nc^2} < 0.05$$

so we get that  $c_2 = \sqrt{\frac{20\sigma^2}{n}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{20}$ .

(f) These are equal when

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n_1}} \sqrt{2 \log 40} &= \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n_2}} \sqrt{20} \\ \frac{2\sigma^2}{n_1} \log 40 &= \frac{20\sigma^2}{n_2} \\ n_2 &= \frac{10}{\log 40} n_1 \end{aligned}$$

So  $n_2 \approx 2.7n_1$ . We need to collect approximately 1.71 times more data.

3. Consider a sample  $X_i$ , where  $X_i = \mu + \sigma_i e_i$  for some constants  $\{\sigma_i\}$  and  $\mu$ , and  $e_i$  i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance 1.

(a) We have that  $\hat{\mu}_1$  is consistent if  $\hat{\mu}_1 \xrightarrow{P} \mu$ . This is true if, for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\hat{\mu}_1 - \mu| > \delta\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

This becomes

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\hat{\mu}_1 - \mu| > \delta\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i e_i\right| > \delta\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{|\max_i \sigma_i e_i| > \delta\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{|\max_i e_i| > \frac{\delta}{\max_i \sigma_i}\right\} \rightarrow 0$$

Note that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\max_i e_i \xrightarrow{P} \mu_e = 0$  by the weak law of large numbers. Thus, this holds as long as  $\max_i \sigma_i < \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\max_i \sigma_i > 0$  for all  $n$ .

First, note that  $\hat{\mu}_1$  is unbiased, since  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_1] = \frac{1}{n} (n\mu + \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \mathbb{E}[e_i]) = \mu$ .

We have that  $\hat{\mu}_1 - \mu = O_p\left(\sqrt{\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1)}\right)$ , and we have that since  $\hat{\mu}_1$  is unbiased

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1) = \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_1) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$$

Thus, for  $\hat{\mu}_1 - \mu$  to equal  $O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ , it must be the case that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 = 1$ .

- (b) Note first that this is a continuous function of  $\hat{\mu}_1$ , because considering  $\sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (i.e., considering  $\sigma^2$  to be a vector), we have that  $\hat{\mu}_2 = \sigma^2 \cdot \hat{\mu}_1 / \|\sigma^2\|$ , so  $\hat{\mu}_2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2 \cdot \mu / \|\sigma^2\| = \mu$ . Thus,  $\hat{\mu}_2$  is consistent.

Note also that  $\hat{\mu}_2$  is unbiased, since  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_2] = \mu$ . To see:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} \right] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i]}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} = \mu \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} = \mu$$

Then we have that  $\hat{\mu}_2 - \mu = O_p \left( \sqrt{\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_2)} \right)$ . Since  $\hat{\mu}_2$  is unbiased, we have that

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_2) = \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_2) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\text{Var}(X_i)}{\sigma_i^4}}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^2} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}}$$

Thus, for it to be true that  $\hat{\mu}_2 - \mu = O_p \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$ , we need that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = n$ .

- (c) We have that

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_2) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1)}{\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_2)} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = 1$$

Thus,  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1) \geq \text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_2)$ , and  $\hat{\mu}_2$  is more efficient.

4. We have that, from the definition of derivatives,

$$\frac{f(Y_n) - f(0)}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{p} f'(0)$$

Thus, we have that

$$X_n(f(Y_n) - f(0)) = X_n Y_n \frac{f(Y_n) - f(0)}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{p} X_n Y_n f'(0)$$

and since  $f'(0)$  is a constant, we have that

$$X_n(f(Y_n) - f(0)) \xrightarrow{d} X_n Y_n f'(0) \xrightarrow{d} f'(0) Y$$

Thus,  $X_n(f(Y_n) - f(0)) \xrightarrow{d} f'(0) Y$ .

5. Assume that  $X_i$  are iid with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Let  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

- (a) Note that the continuous mapping theorem is not directly applicable here, as  $(\bar{X})^2$  is a function of  $\bar{X}$ , not  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)$ . Instead, we will use the delta method. Define  $h(x) = x^2$ . Since we have that  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  from the Lindberg-Levy Central Limit Theorem, we have from the Delta Theorem that since  $h(\cdot)$  is continuously differentiable in a neighborhood around  $\mu$ , that

$$\sqrt{n}((\bar{X})^2 - \mu^2) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, (2\mu\sigma)^2)$$

- (b) If we were to use the Delta method, we would get that this converges to  $\mathcal{N}(0,0)$ , which is a degenerate distribution (and gives us no information about the asymptotic distribution). We know by the Central Limit Theorem that

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \implies \frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Thus, from the Continuous Mapping Theorem, we have that

$$h\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}}{\sigma}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_n^2$$