

# Econ 6190 Problem Set 9

Fall 2024

1. Let  $X \sim \text{binomial}(5, \theta)$  with  $\theta$  unknown. Consider testing  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \theta = \frac{1}{2}$  versus  $\mathbb{H}_1 : \theta > \frac{1}{2}$ .
  - (a) Consider test alpha that rejects  $\mathbb{H}_0$  if and only if all “successes” are observed. Derive the power function of this test. Calculate its type I error. Express its type II error as a function of  $\theta$  where  $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ .
  - (b) Consider an alternative test beta that rejects  $\mathbb{H}_0$  if we observe  $X = 3, 4$ , or  $5$ . Write down the power function of this test. Calculate its type I error. Express its type II error as a function of  $\theta$  where  $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ .
  - (c) Between tests alpha and beta, which test has a smaller type I error? Which test has a smaller type II error? Which test would you prefer?
2. Take the model  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with  $\sigma^2$  unknown. A sample of size  $n = 4$  yields  $\sum_{i=1}^4 X_i = 40$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^4 (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = 48$ , where  $\bar{X}$  is the sample average.
  - (a) Propose a test for testing  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \mu = 9$  and  $\mathbb{H}_1 : \mu \neq 9$  given significance value  $\alpha = 5\%$ . What is the critical value? Can you reject the null? Draw a graph of the distribution of your statistic if the null hypothesis is correct and indicate the rejection region.
  - (b) Do the same for  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \mu = 7$  and  $\mathbb{H}_1 : \mu > 7$  given significance value  $\alpha = 5\%$ .
3. Take the model  $X \sim N(\mu, 4)$ . We want to test the null hypothesis  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \mu = 20$  against  $\mathbb{H}_1 : \mu > 20$ . A sample of  $n = 16$  independent realizations of  $X$  was collected, and the sample mean  $\bar{X} = 20.5$ .
  - (a) Propose a test with size  $\alpha$  equal to  $1\%$ . What is the condition for rejecting  $\mathbb{H}_0$  for this test?
  - (b) What is the  $p$  value of this test?
  - (c) What is the condition for rejecting  $\mathbb{H}_0$  with  $\alpha = 1\%$  if we increase the size of the sample to  $n = 25$ ?
  - (d) We want a test with power  $90\%$  if  $\mu = 21$ . What is the size of the sample  $n$  needed for that? Explain briefly how  $n$  affects the power of the test.
  - (e) Now consider the two-sided test  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \mu = 20$  against  $\mathbb{H}_1 : \mu \neq 20$ . Write down the power function of the test if  $\mu = 21$ . Compare with (d). Do you need a larger or smaller  $n$  in order to achieve  $90\%$  power?

4. [Hansen 13.11] You have two samples (Madison and Ann Arbor) of monthly rents paid by  $n$  individuals in each sample. You want to test the hypothesis that the average rent in the two cities is the same. Construct an appropriate test.
5. [Hansen 13.13] You design a statistical test of some hypothesis  $\mathbb{H}_0$  which has asymptotic size 5% but you are unsure of the approximation in finite samples. You run a simulation experiment on your computer to check if the asymptotic distribution is a good approximation. You generate data which satisfies  $\mathbb{H}_0$ . On each simulated sample, you compute the test. Out of  $B = 50$  independent trials you find 5 rejections and 45 acceptances.
  - (a) Based on the  $B = 50$  simulation trials, what is your estimate  $\hat{p}$  of  $p$ , the probability of rejection?
  - (b) Find the asymptotic distribution for  $\sqrt{B}(\hat{p} - p)$ .
  - (c) Test the hypothesis that  $p = 0.05$  against  $p \neq 0.05$ . Does the simulation evidence support or reject the hypothesis that the size is 5%?
6. One very striking abuse of hypothesis testing is to choose size  $\alpha$  **after** seeing the data and to choose them in such a way as to force rejection (or acceptance) of a null hypothesis. To see what the **true** Type I and Type II error probabilities of such a procedure are, calculate size and power of the following two trivial tests:
  - (a) Always reject  $\mathbb{H}_0$ , no matter what data are obtained. (equivalent to the practice of choosing the  $\alpha$  level to force rejection of  $\mathbb{H}_0$ )
  - (b) Always accept  $\mathbb{H}_0$ , no matter what data are obtained. (equivalent to the practice of choosing the  $\alpha$  level to force acceptance of  $\mathbb{H}_0$ )
7. [Final exam, 2021 fall] Suppose  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown. We hope to use a random sample  $\{X_i, i = 1 \dots n\}$  drawn from  $X$  to test hypothesis:  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  for some  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  against  $\mathbb{H}_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$ .
  - (a) Let  $\beta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Write down the log likelihood of  $\beta$  under  $\mathbb{H}_0$ .
  - (b) The unconstrained MLE of  $\beta$  is  $\hat{\beta} = (\bar{X}_n, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ , where  $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$ . Derive the likelihood ratio statistic  $LR_n$  for testing  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  vs  $\mathbb{H}_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$ . Simplify as much as you can.
  - (c) Show the likelihood ratio test based on  $LR_n > c$  for some  $c$  is equivalent to  $|T| > b$  for some  $b$ , where  $T = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu_0}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{n}}}$ .