

ECON 6170
Problem Set 5

Gabe Sekeres

October 8, 2024

Exercise 3. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Prove that $co(S)$ is the collection of all finite convex combinations of elements in S .

Proof. We have that $co(S) = \bigcap \{T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d : S \subseteq T, T \text{ convex}\}$. We wish to show that

$$\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1, \alpha_i \geq 0 \forall i, y_i \in S \forall y_i \right\} = \bigcap \{T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d : S \subseteq T, T \text{ convex}\}$$

We will use set containment.

(\subseteq): Take some $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, such that $\exists \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}, \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ s.t. $y_i \in S \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1, \alpha_i \in [0, 1] \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ where $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i$. Since $y_i \in S \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, y_i \in T \forall T$ since $S \subseteq T$. Since each T is convex, by Proposition 1 in the Convexity notes, $\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i y_i \in T \forall T$ where $S \subseteq T$ and T convex. Thus, since $x = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i y_i, x \in T \forall T$, meaning that $x \in \bigcap \{T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d : S \subseteq T, T \text{ convex}\}$.

(\supseteq): Take some $x \in \bigcap \{T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d : S \subseteq T, T \text{ convex}\}$. Consider two cases. First, if $x \in S$, then choosing $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 0$, and some $y \in S$ where $y \neq x$, we have that $x = \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y$, so $x \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1, \alpha_i \geq 0 \forall i, y_i \in S \forall y_i\}$.

Next, assume that $x \notin S$. The fact that $x \in co(S)$ implies that $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \{y_i, \alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$ s.t. $x = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \alpha_i$ for $y_i \in T \forall i, T$. We also have that for at least one $j, y_j \notin S$. If y_j can be written as a finite convex combination of elements of S , then writing it as such creates a finite convex combination of elements of S that equal x . If y_j cannot be written as a finite convex combination of elements of S , then there exists T_j such that $S \subseteq T_j$ and $x, y_j \notin T_j$, where T_j convex. Thus, if x cannot be written as a finite convex combination of elements of $S, x \notin co(S)$. By contrapositive, $x \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1, \alpha_i \geq 0 \forall i, y_i \in S \forall y_i\}$. \square

Exercise 7. Prove that $\overline{co}(S) = cl(co(S))$.

Proof. We wish to show that

$$\bigcap \{T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d : S \subseteq T, T \text{ is convex and closed}\} = \bigcap \{T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d : co(S) \subseteq T, T \text{ closed}\}$$

(\subseteq): If $x \in \overline{co}(S)$, then $x \in T \forall T$ convex and closed, where $S \subseteq T$. Since $S \subseteq T$ and T convex, $co(S) \subseteq T$. Since T is also closed, and these hold for all $T, x \in cl(co(S))$.

(\supseteq): If $x \in cl(co(S))$, then $x \in T$ for all $co(S) \subseteq T$ where T is closed. Since $S \subseteq co(S), S \subseteq T$. Since not all $T \ni x$ are necessarily convex, the set of T that are convex, closed, and contain S is a subset of the set of T that x are in. Thus, $x \in \overline{co}(S)$. \square

Exercise 10. Prove that a function is concave (convex) if and only if its subgraph (epigraph) is convex.

Proof.

(\Rightarrow): Assume that a function f is concave. Take some $(x, y), (x', y') \in \text{sub}(f)$, so we have that $f(x) \geq y$ and $f(x') \geq y'$. Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. It suffices to show that $(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x', \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)y') \in \text{sub}(f)$. Since f is concave, we have that

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x') \geq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(x') \geq \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)y'$$

Where the second inequality follows from the assumption that $(x, y), (x', y') \in \text{sub}(f)$. Thus, $\text{sub}(f)$ is convex.

(\Leftarrow): We have that $\text{sub}(f)$ is convex. FSO, assume that f is not concave, meaning that there exist $x, x', \alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x') < \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(x')$$

This implies that there is positive distance between the two quantities, so there exist $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x') < \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)y' \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(x')$. However, that would imply that $(x, y), (x', y') \in \text{sub}(f)$, but $(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x', \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)y') \notin \text{sub}(f)$, which contradicts the assumption that $\text{sub}(f)$ is convex. Thus, f is concave.

The same proof applies for f being convex if and only if its epigraph is convex, flipping the respective inequalities. \square

Example 11. Prove that an affine function is both convex and concave.

Proof. We have that $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is affine, meaning that $f(x) = ax + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}$. Consider $x, x' \in X$. We have that

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x') = a(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x') + b = \alpha(ax + b) + (1 - \alpha)(ax' + b) = \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(x')$$

Thus, $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x') \geq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(x')$ meaning that f is concave, and $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x') \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(x')$, meaning that f is convex. \square

Exercise 12. Prove that a function is quasiconcave (resp. quasiconvex) if and only if the upper (resp. lower) contour sets are convex.

Proof. (\Rightarrow): We have that $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasiconcave. Take some x, y in the upper contour set r of f . That means that for some r , $f(x) \geq r$ and $f(y) \geq r$. Then, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \geq \min\{f(x), f(y)\} \geq r$$

where the first inequality follows from quasiconcavity of f . Thus, $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$ is in the upper contour set r of f , and the upper contour sets of f are convex.

(\Leftarrow): We have that the upper contour sets of f are convex. Consider some $x, y \in X$. Take $r = \min\{f(x), f(y)\}$. Since $f(x) \geq r$ and $f(y) \geq r$ by construction, x and y are in the upper contour set r of f . Since the upper contour sets are convex, $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is also in the upper contour set r of f , which means that $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \geq r = \min\{f(x), f(y)\}$. Thus, f is quasiconcave.

The same proof follows, reversing the inequalities, for quasiconvex and the lower contour sets. \square

Exercise 13. True or false: If f is a (quasi)concave function and $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing function, then $h \circ f$ is (quasi)concave.

(i) True!

Proof. Consider some x, y . Take $r = \min\{f(x), f(y)\}$. Then x, y are each in the upper contour set r of f , which is convex because f is quasiconcave. This means that $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \geq \min\{f(x), f(y)\}$. WLOG, assume that $f(x) \leq f(y)$. Since h is nondecreasing, we have that

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \geq f(x) = \min\{f(x), f(y)\} \implies (h \circ f)(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \geq (h \circ f)(x)$$

Thus, $h \circ f$ is quasiconcave. \square

(ii) False! Consider the example of $f(x) = 1$ and be any strictly increasing and strictly convex function. $f(x)$ is affine and thus concave, but $h \circ f = h$ which is strictly convex (and thus not concave).

Exercise 1. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be convex. Prove or give a counterexample:

(i) True!

Proof. We have that f and g are convex. Consider for some $x, y \in X, \alpha \in (0, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) &= f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) + g(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \\ &\leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y) + \alpha g(x) + (1 - \alpha)g(y) \\ &= \alpha(f + g)(x) + (1 - \alpha)(f + g)(y) \end{aligned}$$

Where the inequality follows from the assumption that f and g are convex. \square

(ii) False! Consider $f(x) = -x$ and $g(x) = x - \frac{|x|}{2}$. f is convex and thus quasiconvex, and g is monotonically increasing and thus quasiconvex, but their sum is the function $(f + g)(x) = -\frac{|x|}{2}$ which is not quasiconvex because the lower contour set for, e.g., $r = -1$ is the disjoint intervals $(-\infty, -2] \cup [2, \infty)$ which is not convex by inspection.

(iii) True!

Proof. f is concave implies that for arbitrary $x, y \in X, \alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \geq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y) \geq \min\{f(x), f(y)\}$$

where the second inequality follows from a direct property of minima. Thus, f is quasiconcave. \square

(iv) True!

Proof. f is concave implies that for arbitrary distinct $x, y \in X, \alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) > \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y) \geq \min\{f(x), f(y)\}$$

where the second inequality follows from a direct property of minima. Thus, f is strictly quasiconcave. \square