

ECON6190 Section 6

Oct. 4, 2024

Yiwei Sun

4. [Hong] Suppose  $\{X_1, X_2 \dots X_n\}$  is iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ . Consider the following estimator for  $\sigma^2$ :

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$$

Find:

- (a) the sampling distribution of  $n\hat{\sigma}^2/\sigma^2$ .
- (b)  $E\hat{\sigma}^2$ .
- (c)  $\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}^2)$ .
- (d)  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}^2)$ .

ca) 
$$n \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{n \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\sigma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i^2}{\sigma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{X_i}{\sigma} \right)^2$$

Since  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\frac{X_i}{\sigma} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

Since  $X_i$  are iid,  $\left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma}\right)$  are independent standard normal s.

$$\Rightarrow n \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{X_i}{\sigma} \right)^2 \sim \chi_n^2$$

(b)  $E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right]$

*E[.] linear operator*  

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i^2]$$

*b/c iid  $E[X_i^2] = E[X^2], \forall i$ .*

$$= \frac{1}{n} n \cdot E[X^2]$$

$$= E[X^2]$$

*R.v. X, a, b constant*

$$E[aX+b] = aE[X] + b$$

$$E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i]$$

3 ways to go from here:

1) integrate out the pdf

$$\begin{aligned} E[X^2] &= \int x^2 f(x) dx \\ &= \int x^2 \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}x^2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \underbrace{x}_u \underbrace{\left(xe^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}x^2}\right)}_{dv} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\int u dv = uv - \int v du$$

we want  $\frac{dv}{dx} = xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \Rightarrow v = -\sigma^2 e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \underbrace{-\sigma^2 x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty}}_{= 0, \text{ b/c L'Hopital's rule. See Section 3}} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{-\sigma^2 e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx}_{= +\sigma^2 \int e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)^2} dx} \right)$$

Recall Gaussian integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}$$

$$\text{let } u = \frac{x}{\sigma} \Rightarrow \frac{du}{dx} = \frac{1}{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow dx = \sigma du.$$

$$= \sigma^2 \left( \underbrace{\sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^2} du}_{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)$$

$$= \sigma^2 \cdot \sqrt{2\sigma^2\pi}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot \sigma^2 \cdot \sqrt{2\sigma^2\pi}$$

$$= \sigma^2 \quad \square$$

2) Notice:  $\underbrace{\text{var}(x)}_{\sigma^2} = \underbrace{E[x^2]}_{?} - \underbrace{(E[x])^2}_{\frac{0}{0}}$

$$\Rightarrow E[x^2] = \sigma^2 \quad \square$$

In general:  $E[x^2] = \text{var}(x) + (E[x])^2$ .

3) From (a),  $\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_n^2$ .

Property of  $\chi^2$ : If  $X \sim \chi_n^2$ , then  $E[X] = n$ .

$$\text{var}(X) = 2n.$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[ \underbrace{\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2}}_{\text{constants}} \right] = n$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n}{\sigma^2} E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = n$$

$$\Rightarrow E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = n \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \sigma^2 \quad \square$$

(c)  $\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}^2)$  ?

$$\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = E\left[ \left( \hat{\sigma}^2 - \underbrace{E[\hat{\sigma}^2]}_{\sigma^2} \right)^2 \right] \rightarrow \text{complicated, but can be worked out.}$$

Alternatively: from (a)

$$\text{var} \left( \frac{n \hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \right) = 2n$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n^2}{\sigma^4} \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = 2n$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = 2n \cdot \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(d) } \text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}^2) &= \underbrace{\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}^2)}_{\frac{2\sigma^4}{n}} + \underbrace{(\text{bias}(\hat{\sigma}^2))^2}_{\underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\hat{\sigma}^2] - \sigma^2}_{\sigma^2} = 0} \\ &= \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} \end{aligned}$$

5. Let  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  be a random iid sample from a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$

$$P\{X_i = j\} = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^j}{j!}, j = 0, 1, \dots$$

- Find a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$ , say  $T$ .
- Suppose we are interested in estimating probability of a count of zero  $\theta = P\{X = 0\} = e^{-\lambda}$ . Find an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ , say  $\hat{\theta}_1$ . (Note  $P\{X = 0\} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}\{X = 0\}]$ .)
- Is the estimator in (b) a function of the minimal sufficient statistics  $T$ ?
- Use the definition of a sufficient statistic and an unbiased estimator, show that the estimator  $\hat{\theta}_2 = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_1 | T]$  is also unbiased and  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_2) \leq \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_1)$ .
- Based on (d), find an analytic form of  $\hat{\theta}_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a) } f(\mathbf{x} | \lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}, \text{ for } x_i \in \{0, 1, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } g(T(\mathbf{x}) | \lambda) = e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}, \text{ and } h(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}$$

By factorization theorem,  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$  is a S.S.

$T(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  also work.  
with constants adjusted properly.

$\Rightarrow$  Still need to show it's a minimal S.S.

Consider 2 sample realizations,  $x, y$

$$\frac{f(x|\lambda)}{f(y|\lambda)} = \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} / \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!)}{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} / \prod_{i=1}^n (y_i!)}$$

$$= \underbrace{\left( \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \right)}_{\text{doesn't depend on } \lambda \text{ iff } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \underbrace{\left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \right)}_{\text{doesn't depend on } \lambda}$$

doesn't depend on  $\lambda$  iff  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$   
 $T(x) \quad T(y)$

$\Rightarrow$  We have shown that  $\frac{f(x|\lambda)}{f(y|\lambda)}$  doesn't depend on  $\lambda$  iff  $T(x) = T(y)$ .

$\Rightarrow$  By theorem from class,  $T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is a minimal s.s.

(b) unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}_1$  for  $\theta = P(X=0)$

Hint:  $P(X=0) = E[\mathbb{1}_{\{X=0\}}]$  ---- ①

A natural estimator is the sample analog of ①

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=0\}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=0\}}}{n} \rightarrow \text{counting \#0 in the data}$$

$\{0, 2, 3, 0, \dots\}$   
 $1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ \dots$

Need to check if  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is unbiased:

$$E[\hat{\theta}_1] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=0\}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[\mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=0\}}]$$

$P(X=0)$

blc iid  $P(X_1=0)$   
 $P(X_2=0)$   
 $\vdots$

$$= P(X=0) = \theta \quad \square$$

(c) NO!

Samples  
 $(X_1, X_2, X_3)$

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i=0\}}$$

$(0, 0, 5)$

5

2/3

$(0, 2, 3)$

5

1/3

One value of  $T(x)$  can map to multiple values of  $\hat{\theta}_1$   
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_1$  is a function of  $T$ .

$$(d) \hat{\theta}_2 = E[\hat{\theta}_1 | T]$$

i) unbiasedness:

$$E[\hat{\theta}_2] = E[E[\hat{\theta}_1 | T]] \stackrel{\text{LIE}}{=} E[\hat{\theta}_1] \stackrel{\text{by (b)}}{=} \theta$$

ii) WTS:  $MSE(\hat{\theta}_2) \leq MSE(\hat{\theta}_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} MSE(\hat{\theta}_1) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E[(\hat{\theta}_1 - \theta)^2] \\ &= E[(\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2 + \hat{\theta}_2 - \theta)^2] \\ &= E[(\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)^2] + E[(\hat{\theta}_2 - \theta)^2] + 2E[(\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)(\hat{\theta}_2 - \theta)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{LIE}}{=} E[E[(\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)(\hat{\theta}_2 - \theta) | T]]$$

$E[\hat{\theta}_1 | T]$  (para.)  
 don't depend on  $T$ .  
 can be treated as constant

$$= E[(E[\hat{\theta}_1 | T] - \hat{\theta}_2)(\hat{\theta}_2 - \theta)]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{:= } \hat{\theta}_2}{=} 0$$

$$= 0$$

$$= E[(\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)^2] + MSE(\hat{\theta}_2)$$

$\geq 0$ .

$$\geq MSE(\hat{\theta}_2)$$

$\Rightarrow$  Rao-Blackwell theorem.