

ECON6190 Section 7

Oct. 11, 2024

Yiwei Sun

Midterm #1

Useful results:

- If

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_X \\ \mu_Y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_X^2 & \sigma_X \sigma_Y \rho \\ \sigma_X \sigma_Y \rho & \sigma_Y^2 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

then

$$X | Y \sim N \left(\mu_X + \frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y} \rho (Y - \mu_Y), (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_X^2 \right).$$

- If $X \sim \chi_k^2$, then $E[X] = k$, $\text{Var}(X) = 2k$.

1. We observe a random sample $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ from a normal distribution with unknown mean $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, unknown variance σ^2 and a pdf

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right), \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Answer the following questions.

- (a) [10 pts] Show the first derivative of $f(x)$, $f^{(1)}(x)$, equals $-\frac{1}{\sigma} f(x) \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$.

Answer: Standard question.

- (b) [10 pts] Let $T_1 = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(X_2 - X_1)^2$. Prove that $T_1 \sim \chi_1^2$.

Answer: Standard question. See class note.

- (c) [10 pts] Let $T_2 = T_1 + \frac{2}{3\sigma^2}(X_3 - \bar{X}_2)^2$, where $\bar{X}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(X_1 + X_2)$. Prove that $T_2 \sim \chi_2^2$. For simplicity, you may assume that \bar{X}_2 is independent of T_1 .

Answer: Standard question. See class note.

(b) Method #1

Since $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ are iid sample from $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, linear combination of iid normal is also normal.

$$E[x_2 - x_1] = E[x_2] - E[x_1] = \mu - \mu = 0.$$

$$\text{Var}(x_2 - x_1) = \underbrace{\text{Var}(x_2)}_{\sigma^2} + \underbrace{\text{Var}(x_1)}_{\sigma^2} - 2 \underbrace{\text{Cov}(x_2, x_1)}_0 = 2\sigma^2$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_2 - x_1) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2\sigma^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2} (x_2 - x_1) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Since χ_1^2 is one standard normal squared,

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x_2 - x_1)^2 = \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma^2} (x_2 - x_1)}_{\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)} \right)^2 \sim \chi_1^2$$

Method #2 we know $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider for } n=2, \quad S_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2-1} \left((x_1 - \bar{x}_2)^2 + (x_2 - \bar{x}_2)^2 \right), \quad \bar{x}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) \\ &= \left(x_1 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) \right)^2 + \left(x_2 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_2) \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}(x_2 - x_1) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(x_1 - x_2)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(x_2 - x_1)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x_1 - x_2)^2 = \frac{(2-1)S_2^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \underbrace{\chi_{2-1}^2}_{\chi_1^2}$$

(c) WTS: $T_2 = T_1 + \frac{2}{3\sigma^2} (x_3 - \bar{x}_2)^2 \sim \chi_2^2$.

Since χ_2^2 is the sum of two independent standard normal squared.

We already showed $T_1 \sim \chi_1^2$, and \bar{x}_2, x_3 are independent of T_1 ,

WTS: $\frac{2}{3\sigma^2} (x_3 - \bar{x}_2)^2 \sim \chi_1^2$.

By similar argument of joint normality,

$$\bar{x}_2 \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} E[x_1 + x_2]}_{\mu}, \frac{1}{4} \left(\underbrace{\text{var}(x_1)}_{\sigma^2} + \underbrace{\text{var}(x_2)}_{\sigma^2} + \underbrace{2\text{cov}(x_1, x_2)}_0 \right) \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \bar{x}_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)$$

$$x_3 - \bar{x}_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu - \mu, \underbrace{\text{var}(x_3)}_{\sigma^2} + \underbrace{\text{var}(\bar{x}_2)}_{\frac{\sigma^2}{2}} - \underbrace{2\text{cov}(x_3, \bar{x}_2)}_0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (x_3 - \bar{x}_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{3}{2}\sigma^2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{2}{3\sigma^2}} (x_3 - \bar{x}_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{3\sigma^2} (x_3 - \bar{x}_2)^2 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3\sigma^2}} (x_3 - \bar{x}_2) \right)^2 \sim \chi_1^2$$

- (d) [10 pts] Let $\hat{\mu}_1 = X_1$ be an estimator of μ . Calculate the bias, variance, and mean square error (MSE) of $\hat{\mu}_1$.

Answer: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_1] = \mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$ by random sampling assumption. So

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bias}(\hat{\mu}_1) &= \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_1] - \mu = 0; \\ \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_1) &= \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\mu}_1 - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_1])^2] = \mathbb{E}[(X_1 - \mu)^2] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mu)^2] = \sigma^2 \\ \text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_1) &= [\text{bias}(\hat{\mu}_1)]^2 + \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_1) = \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{var}(\hat{\mu}_1) = \text{var}(x_1)$
iid σ^2

- (e) [15 Pts] Propose an unbiased estimator for the variance of $\hat{\mu}_1$, say, $\hat{V}ar(\hat{\mu}_1)$, and prove its unbiasedness. Then, find the variance of $\hat{V}ar(\hat{\mu}_1)$.

Answer: Since $\text{var}(\hat{\mu}_1) = \sigma^2$, an unbiased estimator for σ^2 is

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2, \quad \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

common mistake:

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

↑
parameter

The proof of unbiasedness follows class notes. To find $\text{var}(s^2)$, note since we assumed a normal sampling model, it follows

$$\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2,$$

and as a result, $\text{var}\left(\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}\right) = 2(n-1)$. Furthermore,

$$\text{var}\left(\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}\right) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{\sigma^4} \text{var}(s^2),$$

we conclude that $\text{var}(s^2) = \frac{2(n-1)\sigma^4}{(n-1)^2} = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}$.

trick discussed in section 6

Now assume σ^2 is known

- (f) [10 Pts] Show $T_3 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for μ using Factorization Theorem.

Answer: Standard question. See class note.

- (g) [15 Pts] Find the joint distribution of $(\hat{\mu}_1, T_3)$. Carefully state your reasoning.

Answer: Note $\hat{\mu}_1 = X_1$, $T_3 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, both of which are linear combinations of

$$(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)' \sim \text{multivariate normal distribution.}$$

As a result, $(\hat{\mu}_1, T_3)$ also follows a multivariate normal distribution:

NO sufficient just say each of them are normal

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu}_1 \\ T_3 \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_1] \\ \mathbb{E}[T_3] \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{var}(\hat{\mu}_1) & \text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}_1, T_3) \\ \text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}_1, T_3) & \text{var}(T_3) \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where note $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_1] = \mu$, $\mathbb{E}[T_3] = \mu$, $\text{var}(\hat{\mu}_1) = \sigma^2$, and $\text{var}(T_3) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}_1, T_3) &= \text{Cov}(X_1, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Cov}(X_1, X_i) \quad \text{b/c iid} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2, \quad \text{Cov}(X_1, X_i) = 0, \forall i \neq 1 \end{aligned}$$

since $\text{Cov}(X_1, X_i) = 0$ for all $i \neq 1$ (by independence assumption) and $\text{Cov}(X_1, X_1) = \text{var}(X_1) = \sigma^2$. As a result,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu}_1 \\ T_3 \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & \frac{1}{n}\sigma^2 \\ \frac{1}{n}\sigma^2 & \frac{1}{n}\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Another way to find covariance:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(x_1, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i) &= E \left[(x_1 - \underbrace{E[x_1]}_{\mu}) (\bar{x} - \underbrace{E[\bar{x}]}_{\mu}) \right] \\ &= E \left[x_1 \bar{x} - \mu x_1 - \mu \bar{x} + \mu^2 \right] \\ &= E \left[x_1 \bar{x} \right] - \underbrace{\mu E[x_1]}_{\mu^2} - \underbrace{\mu E[\bar{x}]}_{\mu^2} + \mu^2 \\ &= E \left[x_1 \bar{x} \right] - \mu^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$E \left[x_1 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[x_1 x_i]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left(\underbrace{E[x_1^2]}_{\sigma^2 + \mu^2} + \underbrace{E[x_1 x_2]}_{= E[x_1] E[x_2] = \mu^2} + \dots + \underbrace{E[x_1 x_n]}_{\mu^2} \right)$$

b/c $\text{var}(x_i) = E[x_i^2] - (E[x_i])^2$

$$\Rightarrow E[x_i^2] = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sigma^2 + n\mu^2 \right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 - \mu^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

(h) [15 Pts] Now, consider the following Blackwell-ized estimator of $\hat{\mu}_1$:

$$\hat{\mu}_2 = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_1 | T_3].$$

Derive the analytic form of $\hat{\mu}_2$.

Answer: Since $(\hat{\mu}_1, T_3)'$ follows a multivariate normal distribution, the conditional distribution $\hat{\mu}_1 | T_3$ is also normal, and in particular,

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_1 | T_3] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_1] + \frac{\sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{\mu}_1)}}{\sqrt{\text{var}(T_3)}} \rho (T_3 - \mathbb{E}[T_3]),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}_1, T_3)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{\mu}_1)} \sqrt{\text{var}(T_3)}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sigma^2}{\sigma \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_1 | T_3] &= \mu + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (T_3 - \mu) \\ &= \mu + T_3 - \mu \\ &= T_3. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\hat{\mu}_2 = T_3$.

(i) [5 Pts] Compare the MSE of $\hat{\mu}_2$ and T_3 . Which one is more efficient?

Answer: Since $\hat{\mu}_2 = T_3$, they are equally efficient.

NOT precise to invoke Rao-Blackwell theorem directly.

Rao-Blackwell Theorem

random sample $\mathbf{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ from a distribution F_θ , $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

Let $\hat{\theta} := \hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X})$ be a candidate estimator for θ , and $T(\mathbf{X})$ be a s.s.

Let $\tilde{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) | T(\mathbf{X})]$,

Then ① $\text{MSE}(\tilde{\theta}(\mathbf{X})) \leq \text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X}))$

② If $\hat{\theta}$ unbiased, so is $\tilde{\theta}$.

4. Let $\{X_1 \dots X_n\}$ be random sample.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-x+\theta} & , x \geq \theta \\ 0 & , \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

(a) Suppose X_i has pdf $f(x) = e^{-x+\theta} \mathbf{1}\{x \geq \theta\}$ for some constant θ . Show that

$$\min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \xrightarrow{P} \theta.$$

(b) Suppose X_i is $U[0, \theta]$ for some constant $\theta > 0$. Show that

$$\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \xrightarrow{P} \theta.$$

DEF (Convergence in Probability)

- $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ if
- $\forall \delta > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \delta) = 0$
 - $\forall \delta > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| < \delta) = 1$ ☺
 - $\forall \delta > 0, \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_{\delta, \varepsilon}$ s.t. $\forall n \geq n_{\delta, \varepsilon},$
 $P(|X_n - X| > \delta) < \varepsilon$
or $P(|X_n - X| < \delta) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$

(a) WTS: $\min(X_1, \dots, X_n) \xrightarrow{P} \theta$

Denote $X_{\min} = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

Want write out $P(X_{\min} \dots)$ \Rightarrow need to find CDF of X_{\min} .

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X_{\min}}(x) &= P(X_{\min} < x) \\ &= 1 - P(X_{\min} \geq x) \\ &\stackrel{iid}{=} 1 - P(X_1 \geq x) P(X_2 \geq x) \dots P(X_n \geq x) \dots \textcircled{1} \end{aligned}$$

supp(x) = $[0, \infty)$ need to find CDF of X_i

$$F_x(x) = \int_{\theta}^x e^{-t+\theta} dt = 1 - e^{\theta-x}, \text{ for } x \in [0, \infty) \Rightarrow \text{plug back to } \textcircled{1}$$

$P(X \leq x)$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X_{\min}}(x) &= 1 - (1 - \underbrace{(1 - e^{\theta-x})}_{F_x(x)})^n \\ &= 1 - (e^{\theta-x})^n. \text{ for } x \in [0, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

WTS: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_{\min} - \theta| < \delta) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X_{\min} - \theta| < \delta) &= P(-\delta + \theta < X_{\min} < \delta + \theta) \\ &= F_{X_{\min}}(\delta + \theta) - F_{X_{\min}}(-\delta + \theta) \\ &= 1 - (e^{\theta - \delta - \theta})^n \\ &= 1 - (e^{-\delta})^n \end{aligned}$$

b/c supp(x) is $[0, \infty)$

$$\text{As } n \rightarrow \infty, P(|X_{\min} - \theta| < \delta) = 1 - \underbrace{(e^{-\delta})^n}_{\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

\Rightarrow By def. $\min(x_1, \dots, x_n) \xrightarrow{P} \theta$.